

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,159	GUEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunray R. Chang	2121	

All Participants:

(1) Sunray R. Chang.

(2) Tod A. Kupstas.

Date of Interview: 30 December 2008

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

current rejections.

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 6, 14, 18 and 19.

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' arguments with allowable subject matter, however, they are not in independent form, the examiner proposes Examiner's Amendment to include the allowable subject matter into independent claims which is authorized by the Applicants.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sunray R Chang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)